## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

		PCT/JP2005/003589	
A. CLASSIFIC. Int.Cl <sup>7</sup>	ATION OF SUBJECT MATTER C12N1/19, 15/09, C12P7/62		
According to Inte	rnational Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national e	lassification and IPC	
B. FIELDS SE.	ARCHED		
Int.Cl'	entation searched (classification system followed by class C12N1/19, 15/09, C12P7/62		
Jitsuyo Kokai Ji	tsuyo Shinan Koho 1971-2005 Tord	suyo Shinan Toroku Kono oku Jitsuyo Shinan Koho	1994-2005
Electronic data be CA/BIOS	ase consulted during the international search (name of data IS/MEDLINE/WPIDS (STN), PubMed	ia base and, where practicable, search	
C. DOCUMEN	TS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		, , ,
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appr		Relevant to claim No.
$\frac{X}{Y}$	M. OHKUMA et al., Cloning of tand construction of a triple a adel, ura3) as a useful host fengineering of Candida maltosa (1993), Vol.23, No.3, pages 20	nuxotroph (hiss, for the genetic h., Curr.Genet.	1,7-11 17-19
$\frac{X}{Y}$	4 110 02/03/112 114	1352958 A1	1,7-11,17-19 17-19
A .	E. ALANI et al., A method for that allows repeated use of UI the construction of multiply (strains., Genetics (1987), Vol. 541 to 545	RA3 selection in disrupted yeast	1,7-11,17-19
Further de	ocuments are listed in the continuation of Box C.	See patent family annex.	
Special categories of cited documents:  A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  E earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date  L document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)		T later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention  "X" document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone  "Y" document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is	
"O" document in the priority	referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means published prior to the international filing date but later than date claimed	combined with one or more other being obvious to a person skilled it document member of the same particles.	such documents, such combination in the art lent family
21 Jun	al completion of the international search i.e., 2005 (21.06.05)	Date of mailing of the international 12 July, 2005 (1	2 . 07 . 05)
Name and mail Japane	ing address of the IS.4/ ese Patent Office	Authorized officer	
Facsimile No. Form PCT/ISA/2	210 (second sheet) (January 2004)	Telephone No.	

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No. PCT/JP2005/003589

Box No. II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)	_		
This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:  1.   Claims Nos.:  because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:			
2. Claims Nos.:  because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:			
3. Claims Nos.:  because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).	,		
Box No. III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)	ł		
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:  The inventions as set forth in claims 1 to 19 relate to a yeast with gene disruption; the inventions as set forth in claims 20 to 33 relate to a yeast transformant having polyhydroxyalkanoic acid synthase gene and acetoacetyl CoA reductase gene transferred thereinto; and the inventions as set forth in claims 34 to 36 relate to a method of restoring a selection marker. Namely, there is no special technical matter in the meaning within PCT Rule 13.2 common to all claims and, therefore, it is recognized that the inventions as set forth in claims 1 to 36 consist of three invention groups, i.e., the inventions as set forth in claims 1 to 19, the inventions as set forth in claims 20 to 33 and the inventions as set forth in claims (continued to extra sheet)  1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.  2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:			
4.   No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims: it is covered by claims Nos.:  The inventions as set forth in Claims 1 and 11 and the parts relating claim 1 in claims 7 to 10 and 17 to 19.  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.  No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.	to		
Box No. III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)  This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: The inventions as set forth in claims 1 to 19 relate to a yeast with ger disruption; the inventions as set forth in claims 20 to 33 relate to a yeast transformant having polyhydroxyalkanoic acid synthase gene and acetoacety CoA reductase gene transferred thereinto; and the inventions as set for in claims 34 to 36 relate to a method of restoring a selection marker. Namely there is no special technical matter in the meaning within PCT Rule 13. 2 commeto all claims and, therefore, it is recognized that the inventions as set forth in claims 1 to 36 consist of three invention groups, i.e., the invention as set forth in claims 1 to 19, the inventions as set forth in claims 20 33 and the inventions as set forth in claims (continued to extra shee claims.  As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.  As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  The inventions as set forth in Claims 1 and 11 and the parts relating claim 1 in claims 7 to 10 and 17 to 19.  Remark on Protest			

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/JP2005/003589

Continuation of Eom No.III of continuation of first sheet(2)

34 to 36. The matter common to the inventions as set forth in claims 1 to 19 resides in "an auxotrophic yeast with gene disruption in which chromosomal DNA genes have been disrupted by homologous recombination with DNA fragments". However, an adenine-requiring yeast with the disruption of chromosomal DNA ADE1 gene by homologous recombination with an ADE1 DNA fragment is reported in document 1 (JP 2002-209574A, KANEGAFUCHI CHEM IND CO., LTD. 2002.07.30 & WO 02/057442 A1 & EP 1352958 A1). Therefore, the above common matter falls within the category of prior art and cannot be considered as a special technical feature in the meaning within PCT Rule 13.2. Thus, it is recognized that the inventions as set forth in claims 1 to 19 consist of six groups of inventions. There is no matter common to the inventions as set forth in claims 1 to 36 seemingly being a special technical feature in the meaning within PCT Rule 13.2. and it cannot be considered that the above invention groups are so linked as to form a single general inventive concept. Such being the case, claims 1 to 36 have eight groups of inventions.